IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA First Appeal No.401 of 1983

Versus

Satya Narayan Singh

.... Appellant/s

Kapildeo Singh & Ors

.... Respondent/s

Appearance:

For the Appellant/s : Mr. Umesh Kumar Singh

Mr. Uday Pratap Singh Mr. Shashi Bhushan

For the Respondent/s :

Mr. Dilip Kumar Tandon

Mr. Shantanu Kumar

Mr. J.P.Sinha

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MUNGESHWAR SAHOO

ORAL ORDER

14 03-11-2015

TOT OFFICE

Perused the office note dated 02.11.2015 read with 30.09.2015.

Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of legal representatives of the deceased sole appellant and the learned counsel appearing on behalf of legal representatives of the deceased respondent nos.1, 2 and 3.

Both the learned counsels submitted that the legal representatives had no knowledge about the pendency of this first appeal and, therefore, they had not taken any steps for substitution of the deceased sole appellant and deceased respondent no.1 within time.

Prayer has also been made for condoning the delay.

2

According to the learned counsels, since the parties have compromised their disputes outside the Court, they are not interested to prosecute the appeal further. When they came to know about the pendency of this First Appeal, they have filed these interlocutory applications.

In view of the submissions of learned counsel for the appellant as well as learned counsel for the respondents both the interlocutory applications are allowed and the legal representatives of the deceased sole appellant and legal representatives of the deceased respondent no.1 are substituted in place of the deceased respectively. They have appeared by filing *vakalatnama*.

In view of the submission of the learned counsels that both parties have compromised their disputes outside the Court and that they are not desirous of prosecuting the appeal any further, this First Appeal is dismissed as not pressed.

(Mungeshwar Sahoo, J)

Harish/-

U

